

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

843-553-8300

843-899-8600

843-899-8602

ND

# PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

**Our School** 

·-	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003 2004	Average	Unsatisfactory	No

## PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

**Mathematics** English/Language Arts **Mathematics** English/Language Arts

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

## **Definition of Critical Terms**

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; Advanced exceeded expectations **Proficient** Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level Basic Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; **Below Basic** the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

# EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	leachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	72	419	160
Percent satisfied with learning environment	88.7%	49.8%	70.1%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	88.9%	52.2%	51.6%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	46.5%	66.8%	58.0%

College Park Middle 801032 tricities of testing PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP No Proficient and State Objective olo Below Basic olo Proficient olo Advanced Advanced olo Tested olo Basic **English/Language Arts** All students 1,209 99.3 33.5 49.4 15.9 1.2 17.1 17.6 Gender Male 622 99.4 42.9 44.6 12.0 0.5 12.5 17.6 Female 20.3 17.6 587 99.3 23.2 54.6 1.9 22.2 Racial/Ethnic Group 18.2 White 831 99.3 30.0 50.2 1.6 19.8 17.6 African-American 100.0 42.1 48.6 9.3 N/A 9.3 17.6 316 Asian/Pacific Islander 96.3 25.9 40.7 29.6 3.7 33.3 27 17.6 Hispanic 44.4 44.4 30 100.0 11.1 N/A 11.1 17.6 American Indian/Alaskan 2 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Disability Status Not disabled 1.018 99.4 26.8 53.3 18.5 1.4 19.8 17.6 Disabled 191 99.0 72.3 26.4 1.3 N/A 1.3 17.6 Migrant Status Migrant N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Non-migrant 99.3 33.3 49.4 16.0 1.2 17.2 17.6 1,209

N/A

33.1

42.4

26.8

N/A

16.1

10.3

20.2

N/A

49.6

46.3

51.8

N/A

1.2

1.1

1.3

N/A

17.3

11.4

21.5

17.6

17.6

17.6

17.6

100.0

99.3

99.0

99.6

8

1,201

520

689

English Proficiency
Limited English proficient

Full-pay meals

Non-limited English proficient

Socio-Economic Status
Subsidized meals

	Mathematics							
All students	1.209	100.0	33.0	45.1	15.6	6.3	21.8	15.5
Gender	,,_00							
Male	622	100.0	32.6	44.0	16.5	6.9	23.4	15.5
Female	587	100.0	33.2	46.4	14.7	5.7	20.4	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	831	100.0	29.2	45.3	18.4	7.1	25.5	15.5
African-American	316	100.0	45.6	43.4	8.5	2.5	11.0	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	27	100.0	10.7	57.1	10.7	21.4	32.1	15.5
Hispanic	30	100.0	29.6	44.4	18.5	7.4	25.9	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status								
Not disabled	1,018	100.0	27.4	47.5	17.9	7.1	25.1	15.5
Disabled	191	100.0	65.8	31.1	1.9	1.2	3.1	15.5
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	1,209	100.0	32.9	45.2	15.6	6.3	21.9	15.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	8	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	1,201	100.0	32.9	45.0	15.7	6.3	22.1	15.5
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	520	100.0	42.2	42.5	10.6	4.7	15.3	15.5
Full-pay meals	689	100.0	26.0	47.1	19.4	7.5	26.8	15.5

Grade 8

493

99.2

## PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

#### triding of testics olo Profile Handerleed olo Balom Basic o/o Advanced olo Proficient olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts Grade 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 6 204 42.6 25.2 7.4 32.7 N/A 24.8 Grade 7 478 51.5 2.3 N/A 27.5 18.7 21.0 Grade 8 392 N/A 31.5 50.0 15.9 2.6 18.5 Grade 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 6 253 100.0 32.7 41.0 23.5 2.8 26.3 Grade 7 463 99.1 31.2 48.4 19.3 1.2 20.5

36.0

54.5

0.5

9.5

9.0

				M	athematio	S		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	204	N/A	26.2	53.0	16.8	4.0	20.8
	Grade 7	478	N/A	43.2	37.1	13.4	6.3	19.7
•	Grade 8	392	N/A	42.3	43.8	11.0	2.8	13.8
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	253	100.0	30.7	40.8	21.1	7.3	28.4
	Grade 7	463	100.0	33.6	40.6	17.3	8.5	25.8
	Grade 8	493	100.0	33.6	51.7	11.2	3.6	14.8

# SCHOOL PROFILE

(	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 1,242)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	13.5%	Down from 16.2%	20.0%	14.4%
Retention rate	5.9%	Down from 6.2%	2.1%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	94.3%	Down from 94.7%	95.4%	95.2%
	5.7%	Down from 7.9%	17.2%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	15.1%	Up from 13.3%	14.5%	14.1%
	8.5%	Up from 7.2%	4.1%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	4.7%			1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%			0.0%
Teachers (n= 76)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	43.4%	Down from 49.3%	48.2%	47.1%
Continuing contract teachers	72.4%	Down from 76.8%	87.2%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	85.0%	Down from 85.1%	86.4%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	94.6%	Down from 95.3%	95.3%	95.0%
	\$37,969	Down 1.1%	\$40,396	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	11.0 days	Up from 10.5 days	10.0 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	4.0	Up from 3.0	3.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	26.0 to 1	Up from 24.8 to 1	21.2 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time	88.1%	Down from 89.2%	89.2%	88.9%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,094	Up 36.5%	\$5,677	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	59.9%	Down from 67.9%	62.2%	62.0%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	78.9%	Up from 70.4%	94.5%	94.8%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

A la la constant a 41 a const	C B4' '	D - 1 -
Abbreviations	tor Wissina	Data

		Ū	
N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

## REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

College Park Middle School has experienced many successes throughout the 2002-2003 academic year. Our teachers and staff play such a vital role in our everyday accomplishments. We are proud to claim Berkeley County's Teacher of the Year, Charla Groves, as our own. Ms. Groves teaches seventh grade Social Studies and does an exceptional job leading our seventh grade teacher cadets in our Pro-Team program. College Park Middle also claims the Tri-County Guidance Counselor of the Year, Meredith Butterworth, who was selected from a pool of nominees from Charleston. Dorchester and Berkeley counties.

College Park Middle School students experienced a number of accomplishments. Honors included a Berkeley County Science Fair winner, A Low-Country Science Fair winner, 21 South Carolina Junior Scholars, four Duke TIP Scholars, two All-State Band members, 17 Region and five Honor Band members, 43 All-County Band members, 11 All-County Chorus members, and Quest's Interpretive Arts' First Place winner. Our band was honored by being invited to perform at the SC Music Educators' Conference.

College Park Middle School, identified as a Title I school for the first time in 2002, serves approximately 1200 students. This classification afforded the opportunity to provide our students with the assistance they needed to reach their full academic potential. Title I supplemented our school with resources that targeted students at every level. Over 150 students took advantage of the after-school homework assistance program, and over 300 participated in the after-school A-Star tutorial Teachers and students were affected positively by on-site grants, professional conferences and workshops, staff development, student and staff incentives, technological advancement, visiting artists and storytellers, and testing Additional student and parental inolvement opportunities included volunteer programs, Family Math and Reading Nights, School Improvement Council planning meetings, Computer Literacy classes, Academic Challenge Teams, and additional student programs and projects. The positive impact of Title I has helped students to perform better in school and feel better about themselves, teachers to understand the needs and concerns of students and parents, and parents to understand their children and to become more involved in their children's education.

College Park Middle continues to provide all students with an optimal learning environment in which they are given every opportunity to develop their full potential for success through a student-centered curriculum.

Ingrid Dukes, Principal

## DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

# DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.